

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS  
AMERICA, INC.,

*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-00103-JRG-RSP

**ORDER**

Samsung previously filed a *Daubert* Motion to Strike Expert Report of Dr. Andreas Groehn (Dkt. No. 181.) Magistrate Judge Payne entered a Memorandum Order (Dkt. No. 376), denying Samsung's Motion to Strike. Samsung has now filed Objections. (Dkt. No. 400.)

After reviewing the briefing on the Motion to Strike, Judge Payne's Memorandum Order, and the briefing on Samsung's Objections, the Court agrees with the reasoning provided within the Memorandum Order and concludes that the Objections fail to show that the Memorandum Order was clearly erroneous or contrary to law. Consequently, the Court **OVERRULES** Samsung's Objections (Dkt. No. 400) and **ADOPTS** the Memorandum Order (Dkt. No. 376).

**So Ordered this**

**Apr 20, 2025**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE